

6 November 1974

NOTE FOR: Chairman, SECOM

SUBJECT : DCID 1/7

25X1 1. [] visited this morning to inform that the
DCI has signed off on the suggestion referring the DCID 1/7 to the
25X1 Security Committee. (We have the advanced drop copy of the note
[] sent to the DCI.)

2. In discussion of the problems that arose, [] mentioned 25X1
that Gen. Giller's letter to the DCI on DCID 1/7 raised a strawman
issue. Giller's concern relates to markings that might be interpreted
as preventing classified documents being given to AEC labs. Giller
then says in the letter that the Attorney General in 1970 expressed
the opinion that AEC labs could be treated as government employees
25X1 and not as contractors. [] suggested that one approach we can
use to resolve this issue is to get the AEC representative to agree
to no changes based on Giller's concern but agree to recognize that
DCID 1/7 does not apply to AEC's labs in view of the AG's finding.

3. Of course, this might set a precedent for other depart-
ments and agencies and open up a real debate that would be difficult
to moderate.

4. I think we might be able to handle with a footnote that
reflects the AG's finding for AEC. If any other department or agency
has a similar statement from the AG, we could include them in the
footnote along with AEC.

25X1 5. [] also mentioned that some problems will come up
with identification of just what is a USIB document. We can define
a USIB document as one bearing a USIB number. This is clean but
fails to recognize the large number of documents that are published
by the Committees of the USIB.

6. In connection with Ed Proctor's problem, [] said that the full package he will send us contains a couple of expressions of just what Proctor's concerns are based on. According to [] the concerns are real and pose a rather sticky issue. [] suggested that direct conversation with Ed might help to amplify the matter and indicate a possible solution. I think this might be left to [] to handle as the CIA representative.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

7. I have no other word about problems with the exception that [] indicated Navy had an issue to raise and there was unidentified DIA concern.

25X1

8. In action toward solution of the problems about DCID 1/7, I suggest we list it as an agenda item for SECOM meeting on the 19th and supplement the announcement with a package containing a copy of Gen. Giller's letter of concern, anything that Ed Proctor might have expressed in writing and possibly [] note to the DCI as signed by the DCI. On top of this package I would suggest a memorandum from you to the members setting forth the task reminding members that their USIB Principals have already seen the DCID 1/7 and asking them to do all necessary staff work so we can bring proposals before the Committee at the December meeting. A copy of such a memorandu is attached for your consideration.

25X1



25X1

Attachment - is only the advanced draft copy.